



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/864,870	05/25/2001	Simon Paul Davis	3036/49955	2274
23911	7590 02/07/2006		EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING LLP			NGUYEN, VAN KIM T	
INTELLECT P.O. BOX 14	FUAL PROPERTY GROUP 4300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20044-4300		2151	

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/864,870	DAVIS ET AL				
Office Action Sumi	nary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Van Kim T. Nguyen	2151				
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended pe Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	OMMUNICATION. e provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply maximum statutory period w riod for reply will, by statute, ree months after the mailing	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communicat	ion(s) filed on <u>27 De</u>	ecember 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)∏ This	action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-9 and 11-14</u> is 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 11-14</u> is/are 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 6-8</u> is/are re 7) □ Claim(s) is/are object 8) □ Claim(s) are subject	is/are withdraw allowed. jected. ted to.	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected	I to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that	any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s)	-	•	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. Certified copies of the3. Copies of the certified application from the I	one of: e priority documents e priority documents d copies of the prior nternational Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applic ity documents have been rece	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date Il Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/864,870 Page 2

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to communications filed on December 27, 2005.

• Claims 1, 6-9, 11 and added new claims 12-14 are pending in the case.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hughes et al (US 6,747,971), in view of Joo et al (US 5,963,552).

Regarding claim 1, as shown in Figures 1-10, Hughes discloses a method of operating a packet switch (200) comprising a plurality of ingress means (304a-n), a plurality of egress means (306a-n), a cross-bar (305) and a controller (314), the cross-bar being connected between the ingress means and the egress means to transfer multicast (312a-n) and unicast (313) data traffic from the ingress means to the egress means; the method comprising the steps of:

- a) determining if the data traffic to be transferred is unicast or multicast (col. 6: lines 27-30, and col. 9: lines 7-17);
 - b) if the data traffic is unicast, invoking a unicast schedule (col. 9: lines 33-47);
 - c) if the traffic is multicast, invoking a multicast schedule (col. 9: lines 48-63); and
 - d) transferring the data traffic in accordance with the invoked schedule (cols. 2-17).

Hughes also discloses determining a priority for each ingress means for sending the cells (col. 12: lines 41-43; and col. 17: lines 56 – col. 18: line 2).

Hughes also discloses the priority for each ingress means is based on a comparison of a relative number of sets of adjacent send opportunities, as well as size of the sets (comparing the relative number of multicast queue 513 and unicast queues 512a, b, l, and n sequentially, as well as the size of the queues; col. 9: line 35 – col. 11: line 59; col. 14: lines 47-53; and col. 18: lines 32-39).

Hughes discloses substantially all the claimed limitations, but does not explicitly call for step c) to further comprise forming a multicast cell fanout table containing current fanout requirements for a cell at the head of a multicast queue in each ingress means.

As shown in Figures 1-8, Joo teaches forming a multicast cell fanout table (22) containing current fanout requirements (MCN and VPI/VCI information) for a cell (col. 3: line 66- col. 4: line 6; and col. 4: lines 38-41).

Joo also discloses setting eligible bits ("1") for multicast cells which are currently allowed to be scheduled (Joo: col. 5: lines 20-25).

As multicasting provides an efficient way to transmit information from point-to-multipoints, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made necessary transmitting information for a cell contained in the multicasting routing table can be used in Hughes' crosspoint switch scheduler, motivated by the need to conserve bandwidth and improve efficiency of the communications system.

Application/Control Number: 09/864,870 Page 4

Art Unit: 2151

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Regarding claims 6-7, the combination of Hughes and Joo also discloses the step of e) filling a multicast schedule in accordance with full fanout of the first priority assigned to each ingress means (Hughes: col. 17: lines 12-29; and col. 17: line 51 – col. 18: line 55). Though the combination of Hughes and Joo does not explicitly specify a blank multicast schedule, but it is obvious the schedule must be blank before the filling since there would be no switch frame selection done yet.

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Hughes and Joo also discloses step e) further comprises the step of: (ii) filling in as much of the fanout of the next priority ingress means and subsequent ingress means as possible to complete the schedule (Hughes: col. 17: lines 30-39).

As multicasting provides an efficient way to transmit information from point-tomultipoints, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the
invention was made necessary transmitting information for a cell contained in the multicasting
routing table can be used in Hughes' crosspoint switch scheduler, motivated by the need to
conserve bandwidth and improve efficiency of the communications system.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 9 and 11-14 are allowed.

See Previous Office Action for Reason for Allowance.

Application/Control Number: 09/864,870 Page 5

Art Unit: 2151

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Van Kim T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3073. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung, can be reached on 571-272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/864,870

Art Unit: 2151

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Van Kim T. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2151

vkn

ZARNI MAUNA
eudervisory patent Examiner